

EACH EC WEBCONFERENCE 10-12-2012

Present: Myriam, Jonathan, Hanneke, Karolien.

1. Minutes of October – to do

WHO	WHAT	WHEN
Jonathan	Make a note of official thanking to organizers on behalf of EACH in the conference manual	Done
Myriam & Jonathan	Check the location in Heidelberg and its capacity (> 600 participants?).	March 2013
Myriam	Discussion about outcomes Synergia in the agenda for December	Done
Myriam & Hanneke	Myriam and Hanneke will brainstorm about possible research ideas in November, when they meet. Myriam and Jonathan can discuss in their meeting in December. Afterwards, abstracts will be made and will be given to Giovanni. In March during the meeting of the SC, we can discuss this further.	November – March Done
Myriam	E-mail all members (“the conference was two months ago ... + open for business”) that we are “open for business”	Done
Myriam	Work out a plan for workshops on teaching, research methodology, etc. . Formulate an answer to Lidia. On the agenda for the meeting of the SC in March.	Done
Peter	Rewrite the letter/report of Norm in a more sensitive way.	E-mail was send made, did we get any reaction? Myriam will ask him in an e-mail.
Myriam	E-mail Isabelle Scholl, thanking her for her efforts in Y-EACH and remembering her to bring visitors of the Facebook page to EACH.	Done
Myriam & Hanneke	discussing a global strategy to make R-EACH more representative.	November, Done
Myriam	E-mail to Glenn.	Done
Jonathan	Send the manual to every one of the EC, so they can comment on it and then he will send	Done

	it to Ellen.	
Myriam	On the agenda of November: reduced fees.	Done

2. Member fees

2.1. Reduced fees

Is a reduced fee possible? Some countries (like Poland) find the fee high. Most researchers have access to PEC via their university. Membership without PEC possible? Do we want this? What will be the consequences with Elsevier?

The EC decides that we will contact Elsevier and see what the consequences are to offer a membership without access to PEC. Also, we would like to be able to offer a student membership (reduced fee if the student can show a student card). Finally, if a member pays in October for next year, they already can get access to the website.

2.2. Members

Sandra will send the list with the members who have paid.

3. Accountant

3.1. New accountant

The accountant this year asked about 500 euro, but there were troubles, e.g. the balance was absolutely not readable, this person did not want to show the documents to the president etc.. The president needs fun insight in the financial status of EACH.

As a treasurer, it is Sandra's responsibility to have and to give on demand an oversight and she should have easy access to the accountant.

3.2. Financial plan

The EC decides that we need a good financial plan and system, where the subcommittees are accountable. Also, when a subcommittee makes a profit, it comes to EACH – one account with separate categories for every subcommittee – but it is allocated to the specific subcommittee.

Myriam will take this up with Sandra.

4. Report of the meeting Hanneke-Myriam in Amsterdam (November 1-2)

4.1. Summary

R-EACH. Facebook goes well, new young researchers find their way. At the moment, the attention dropped a bit. Myriam will send an email to Isabelle to thank her and ask her to think about bringing the facebook members to EACH (**Done**).

Summerschool. Next year, the summerschool will not be in Berlin. Jonathan will send the details of a place in Geneva and also a place 15 miles outside Cambridge. Hanneke will take action with Isabelle.

Preconference workshops. We do not have any idea about the gain or loss (cf. need for a financial plan). The pre-conference workshops in Amsterdam will be organized more smoothly.

Workshops organized by EACH, R-EACH/T-EACH in the in between years. At this moment, no-one in R-EACH has the possibility to organize this. Nevertheless a decision needs to be made about possible gain. At this moment the best solution seems to allocate a possible gain to the committee that organized the workshop. This could be the solution for the next 2 years. After that we could consider new solutions, taking into account the financial situation of EACH and of the committees..

Extending R-EACH. It is important to notice that research is not equally divided among the European countries, therefore having a representation of countries is not a good solution for R-EACH.

It is important to connect with more research groups, especially if collaboration with Synergia is important.

R-EACH will ask the national representatives (NR) of every country which research groups in their country would be interested in joining R-EACH. We could then extend the committee board. They will be asked to send a motivational letter. If this can be done before February, we can discuss this further in Verona.

Hanneke has the intention to stop as the chair of R-EACH in 2014, so we need to look for senior researchers to enter R-EACH.

5. Amsterdam

Hanneke has a meeting on Friday, 14/12, no news yet.

6. T-EACH

There are some meetings in Geneva and Denmark planned.

Resources on the web: that is going well.

7. Conference manual

Jonathan will add the comments of Hanneke – e.g. pre-conference workshops should be in the same venue as the conference - and send it to Ellen and Wolfgang.

8. Tasks and duties of NR/DNR

It is going well. Jonathan is waiting to see what people respond. One of the tasks is to attract new members. Every NR should contact their country members who were at the conference in St-Andrews (TO DO Sandra). When they do send out an e-mail, they should do it in “blind copy”.

English-speaking/not-English speaking: discussion point.

9. Preparation of the SC meeting in February

Next telephone meeting in will be in January, the 14th at 10 CET. Karolien will send an e-mail to the members of the EC.

Jonathan says the NR want to know in advance what the agenda of the meeting is. The topics for the SC meeting will be discussed in January. Let Myriam know which topics you would like to discuss.

Not every NR confirmed his/her presence. Karolien will send an alert.

10. Website

There were some mistakes on the website. Myriam did send e-mails to Sandra who needs to see the webmaster, this procedure takes a while.

Myriam asks to have a look at the website and let her know if anything has to change.

Also, it would be convenient to have the contact information of the webmaster on the website.

11. AOB

Hanneke really likes to letter of Myriam to the members of EACH. Myriam will try to send a letter once every 2/3 months.

Myriam will try to start a discussion about research at the discussion room on the website. Synergia asks us all the topics we want to do research on and they will look if any of them fit in the calls of Europe. Myriam will ask to give 3 topics that are priority to do research on, and if they want to be involved. Also, they have to be a member if they want to enter the discussion.