

## EACH: Executive Committee Conference Call MINUTES 12<sup>th</sup> October 2015 (1600 CET)

## Present:

Jonathan Silverman	EACH President
Evelyn van Weel-Baumgarten	EACH President Elect
Myriam Deveugele	EACH Past President
Karolien Albrecht	EACH Treasurer
Gerry Humphris	r-EACH Chair
Sara Rubinelli	p-EACH Chair
Marcy Rosenbaum	t-EACH Chair

## Administration:

Fiona Grant

SAS Event Management

	Subject	Action
1	Apologies Stephne Graham, SAS Event Management	
2	Minutes of the last meeting Approved.	
3	Matters arising Matters are included in the current Agenda.	
4	<b>EACH Membership fee for PEC editorial board members</b> Agreed that the discounted rate offered to PEC editorial board members in the past will stop as of 2015. The discount for board members was provided because they already received PEC as part of their editorial duties. There is no longer a financial saving to EACH for not providing PEC, so this can no longer be passed on. Many other people already receive PEC free from their institution and have also asked in the past for a discount which we cannot give. The benefits of being a member extend beyond receiving PEC and the income from the membership fee is used for these other benefits and furthering the association as a whole. JS will contact Sandra van Dulmen, Robert Hulsman and Arnstein Finset to explain the decision.	JS
5	<b>CPD accreditation of courses and conferences</b> JS reported on his findings regarding accreditation in the UK through the Royal College of Physicians or more expensively the Royal College of Gen Practitioners. EvWB reported that for The Netherlands, if a course or	



	Subject	Action
	conference is accredited by the host country, her institution would check this	ACTION
	and then award the equivalent accreditation points. It is possible that this is	
	also the case in other European countries.	
	It was agreed that it would be desirable to get EACH courses and conferences	
	registered for CPD accreditation.	JS/FG
	JS to send the UK documentation to FG, so that SAS can begin the application	33/10
	process	
6	Approval of updated documents for elections for national representative	
•	and President-elect and treasurer	
	Both documents were accepted	
	FG to use these for setting up the election process	FG
7a	Request for participation by EACH in RIME 2017	
-	Agreed that EACH will participate in an international conference based in	
	Düsseldorf in Germany on workplace based learning. The organisers would	
	like EACH onboard to exchange expertise and provide an increased reach of	
	the organisation	
	EvWB and Peter Pype to be put forward to be on the scientific committee	
	All happy for EACH's logo to be used, but will ask for it to say that RIME2017	
	is in partnership/co-operation with EACH	EvWB
	4 possible workshops to put forward (90 mins in length), 2 on research or	
	research about communication education, and 2 about transfer into practice	
	through teaching approaches e.g.:	
	<ul> <li>Peter Pype - Workplace-based research</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>One other concerning research</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>MR, Noelle Junod-Perron &amp; Jane Ege Moller - Workplace based</li> </ul>	
	communication teaching	
	<ul> <li>possibly Geurt Essers Workplace-based assessment</li> </ul>	
	EvWB to contact SIGs for ideas & work with GH, MD, Marc van Nuland &	
	Peter.	
	EvWB to reply to RIME: at this stage the conference only requires 4 possible	
	titles. Once they have worked further on their timetabling, they will let us	
76	know how many workshops we can put in place, between 1 and 4	
7b	Topic for next two PEC pages	
	Limit is 2 pages, maximum 1500 words	
	Summer Event:	
	JS to write an introductory paragraph	JS / MD / FG
	MD to approach person who emailed her with feedback on the Summer	/ EvWB
	Event and Summer School to use/adapt her email feedback in the article & to	/ LVVVD
	approach some others to write some sentences on the event.	
	Also include some feedback from a workshop leader	
	Also include some recuback from a workshop reduct	



	Subject	Action
	FG to provide overall event feedback summary to be included	
	Future ideas:	
	<ul> <li>KA suggested asking the Summer School attendees to write a two page report of their experience</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Introduction &amp; outline to the SIGs</li> </ul>	
8	Brief reports from committees of recent progress	
	a) tEACH	
	Had a successful meeting in Krakow with 10 new members attending.	
	A survey has been sent out to membership for feedback on the types of	
	tEACH courses they want offered in the future both as EACH 2016 pre-	
	conference workshops and regular tEACH courses. Already received 77	
	responses.	
	Poland project was a big success with over 100 attendees. The feedback was	
	excellent - workshops very well received & left the group feeling inspired.	
	In the next meeting of the tEACH committee in spring in Cambridge,	
	following 7 years of its existence in its current form, tEACH will take stock and reinvent itself	
	b) rEACH	
	Already received 14 notifications from EACH members to attend rEACH Open	
	Workshop in Ghent in December. Aiming to attract approximately 25 people	
	in total.	
	Received 4 applications for EACH 2016 pre-conference workshops:	
	<ul> <li>MD &amp; Hanneke de Haes - Writing a paper</li> </ul>	
	Lee Ellington - Introduction to Carer behaviour into research models	
	of interaction between health providers and patients in consultation	
	(also interested in making this into a special issue or series within PEC)	
	Lee Ellington - Dyadic statistical analysis (very technical, Lee is looking	
	for someone from AACH or EACH with expertise on this to help)	
	<ul> <li>Arwen Pieterse - Decision Making - assessing the occurrence of shared decision making</li> </ul>	GH / MD
	There are three slots available and Wolfgang would like final titles by 1st	
	November when the conference website goes live	
	SIG blogs are in the process of being set-up. Each SIG officer has been sent	
	details of their blog and asked to nominate a responsible person to moderate	
	the blog space for appropriate use and content. Must be in place before the	
	blogs can go live	



	Subject	Action
	c) pEACH	SR
	Had a very successful meeting which clarified the relationship of pEACH	
	within EACH and with tEACH & rEACH	
	Currently seeking rEACH & tEACH members to sit on the pEACH committee	
9	Report concerning special distinction award: Josien Bensing	MD / EvWB
	Jozien was delighted with the award. EACH would like to give her a physical	
	gift with an inscription to mark this, exact gift and mechanism for giving this	
	to Jozien yet to be decided.	
10	Brief reports on actions taken since last meeting	
	a) Itemized subaccounts	
	Sent out to sub-committee chairs. A decision will be made at the next exec	JS
	meeting about what to do if sub-committees have a negative balance at year	
	end	
	b) Elsevier contract	
	JS has contacted Terry, but still awaiting a reply	
	c) Members of EACH now 462	
	Constantly increasing. Now in the final quarter which means anyone signing	
	up now gets the rest of 2015 free	
	d) Progress with 2018 conference	
	An appropriate area for catering and posters in the event of bad weather is	FG
	still being explored	
	Request to cap conference dinner costs for the future to a lower level than	
	historically to attract less affluent participants e) Summer Course wash up	
	meeting	
	The event has made a profit and it was decided to hold another event in 2017	
	at the beginning of Sept in Regent's University, London. Final financial	FG
	statement to be sent round once all expenses have been received.	
	f) Formation of subcommittee of rEACH to explore funding models of Summer School	
	Reminder for this to be set-up, MD advised this was unlikely to happen	
	before January	GH/MD
	g) Institutional membership	
	KA & FG to have a meeting on Wednesday to discuss this	KA / FG
	h) EACH money reserves and spending	101710
	JS requested this to be on the agenda for the meeting with SAS in early	SAS
	December	
	i) Relationship between AACH and EACH	
	Outcome of the meeting with AACH, EACH & SAS was that it would not be	
	possible to achieve joint membership due to different currencies, disparity in	
	membership costs between the two associations & it was questioned	
	whether it would really be something members would want. It was decided	
	that it was better to formalise the affiliation between the two associations by	
	offering member rates to each other's associations at all future events.	



	Subject	Action
11	Any other business	
	None	
12	Date of next meeting	
	November 16th 2016	